Adams VSP Draft Work Plan – Comment Response Matrix

2017 December DRAFT

Comment matrix updated: March 13, 2018

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Page No.	Comment	Proposed Response
Publi	ic Comments				
1	Lynn Olsen	2	16	 Line 11 delete pesticides and add crop protection tools Line 12 delete fertilizers and add nutrients Line 18 should nutrients be inserted after tillage and before crop protection tools? 	Update per comment as follows: Intensive tillage can lead to loss of soil organic matter, pesticides_crop protection tools can in fertilizers-nutrient inhibit nitrogen fixation and stimulate nitrification (increasing toxins in the conversion to more intensive development, and farmers can be the County's most effective s protection tool applications to the lowest effective level while still achieving the desired agric
2	Lynn Olsen	3	31	Critical recharge areas, under characteristics delete pesticides and add crop protection tools and nutrients	Update per comment as follows: Most are located in areas where potential contaminants on the land surface, such as fuel, per potentially infiltrate into public drinking water supplies. Agriculture practices can also affect t
3	Lynn Olsen	Table 3-3	36	This is no big deal, but protection is spelled projection	Revise as follow "Crop projection protection tools."
4	Eric Pentico (WDFW)	4	42	I have an issue with page 42 of the Adams County VSP draft that highlights water impoundments as beneficial to fish and wildlife. Many impoundments, when not constructed correctly, actually create a barrier to fish passage, which would be a negative environmental impact. Many/most artificial impoundments actually reduce the amount of habitat available to fish since it blocks free passage.	Consider adding a text box next to the "Water Storage" as follows: <u>Water storage projects – that provide multiple benefits, such as improving stream flow, wetla</u> <u>passage consistent with fish management objectives – is another example of a practices that <u>Cow Creek system.</u></u>
5	Lynn Olsen	5	54	Under objective delete chemical and add crop protection tools.	Update per comment as follows: Protect and enhance acres managed to protect shallow groundwater wells by managing <u>crop</u>
6	Lynn Olsen	5	63	Line 5 and line 11 delete chemical and add crop protection tools	 Update Table 5-1 per comment as follows: Manage <u>crop protection toolchemical</u> and nutrient inputs
7	Eric Pentico	6	82	On page 82 of the Adams Co. Draft VSP work plan, WDFW's private lands section may be able to provide technical assistance as well on habitat improvement projects.	In Table 6-3: update per comment as follows: WDFW provides financial assistance for habitat projects that restore and/or preserve fish and Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account (ALEA) Volunteer Cooperative Grant Program. WDFW p assistance on habitat improvement projects.
8	Lynn Olsen	Appendix B-2	13	Under Protection/Enhancement objectives column delete fertilizer and add nutrient.	Update per comment as follows: Manage commercial fertilizer_nutrient_over-application and resulting excess nutrient contribution
9	Lynn Olsen	Appendix C	2	 The chart on resource concerns, under the heading water quality delete pesticides and add crop protection tools in the surface water and groundwater sections Under the heading water quality- excess pathogens, delete chemicals and add nutrients from manure, and chemicals from bio-solids or compost applications in the surface and groundwater sections. 	 Update per comment as follows: Pesticides-Crop protection tools in surface water Pesticides-Crop protection tools in groundwater Excess pathogens and chemicals-nutrients from manure, chemicals from bio-solids, or comp Excess pathogens and chemicals-nutrients from manure, chemicals from bio-solids, or comp

n impact beneficial soil organisms, and high concentrations of he environment). However, agriculture protects lands from e soil managers by limiting tillage<u>, nutrients</u>, and crop pricultural production results.

besticide or fertilizer crop protection tools, or nutrients, could at the rates of recharge to aquifers.

etland habitat, local water supply, and allowing for fish nat could be implemented within Adams County along the

op protection toolchemical and nutrient input controls.

and wildlife habitat through funding opportunities such as the <u>V private lands biologists may also provide technical</u>

ibution to receiving waters.

mpost applications in surface water. mpost applications in groundwater.

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Page No.	Comment	Proposed Response
Adar	ms VSP Staff Su	ggested Edits	;	connent	Topose Response
10	Adams VSP staff	Table 6-1	79	State funding available to counties is anticipated to be \$220,000, instead of \$250,00 originally included in the implementation budget table.	 Update Table 6-1 to reflect anticipated VSP biennium budget of \$220,000. Education, Outreach, and Technical Assistance = \$140,000 Monitoring, Reporting, and Adaptive Management = \$70,000 Work Group Coordination = \$10,000 Add the following language before Table 6-1: <u>The Conservation Districts have flexibility to move resources within the budget categories of the work group is keeping the VSP work plan viable by meeting the protection and enhance viable, the next work group priority is to use funding to support and leverage implementat possible consistent with the VSP work plan.</u>
Tech	inical Panel Con	nments on ot	her Wo	rk Plans	
11	Tech Panel (comments on other Work Plans)	1 and new Appendix E		Re-enforce how RCW 36.70A.720 (1)(b) was met by clearly documenting all invitations, outreach and engagement efforts to tribes and others (agencies and stakeholders).	Add an Outreach Plan as a new Appendix E clearly describing communication to tribes and inte and describe outreach during implementation. Include reference to Appendix E – Outreach Plan
12	Tech Panel (comments on other Work Plans)	5	50	Re-enforce how existing data and plans were incorporated per RCW 36.70A.720 (1)(a).	 Update last bullet on page 50 to include description and summary of goals and objectives inco Existing plans: Existing plans were reviewed and incorporated where applicable to VSP and applicable-to-identified-goals. The following plans identify goals, objectives, and strategies t Appendix D for additional discussion on review of applicable data and plans as a part of the associated indicators. Palouse Watershed Plan (HDR/EES, Inc. 2007). This plan provides guidance for pro- critical habitat, and recreational opportunities. Recommendations for implementing u- surface and groundwater quality are included with the goal of improving critical habit focuses on a basin-wide strategy to restore floodplain, riparian, and wetland capaciti- improve water quality Palouse Basin Ground Water Management Plan (Palouse Basin Aquifer Commit table and water supply concerns within the Palouse Basin through limiting annual aq water conservation strategies, and maintaining water quality in the basin. WRIA 43 Upper Crab/Wilson Creek Watershed Plan (WRIA 43 Watershed Planni Detailed Implementation Plan (WRIA 43 Water Resource Management Group, I of water resources and land management to protect water quality and promote wate related to agricultural activities include conservation of fish and wildlife habitat and r plans identify strategies to reduce runoff impacts to help protect water quality functi are also identified to conserve water resources and improve groundwater infiltration, Palouse River Chlorinated Pesticide and polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) Total M Improvement Report and Implementation Plan (Ecology 2007). This plan reviews implementation plan to bring the river into compliance with water quality standards, reduce erosion in the watershed with BMPs like direct seed and runoff management (Meting unique habitat requirements of grassland and shrub-steppe areas by mainta preparation principles including weed reduction control, along with guidance on app goals. Maintaining

~

es during plan implementation. The highest budget priority for incement benchmarks of the plan. After keeping the plan tation of as many stewardship strategies and practices as

nterested parties during the Work Plan development process lan in Section 1.

corporated from applicable existing plans as follows: <u>nd</u> are also referenced <u>in Tables for Goals 1 through 5</u> where <u>s that are included in the Work Plan, as described below</u>.-<u>See</u> he process for establishing measurable benchmarks and

protecting water resources in WRIA 34 for out-of-stream uses, g water conservation and efficiency strategies and protecting abitat and maintaining healthy drinking water. This plan also ities to increase aquifer recharge, provide habitat, and

hittee 2015). This plan addresses the declining groundwater aquifer pumping rates, promoting public outreach for key

Ining Unit 2006) and WRIA 43 Upper Crab/Wilson Creek , Inc. 2008). These plans promote watershed-level protection ater and habitat conservation efforts. Recommendations d riparian vegetation, and voluntary restoration efforts. The ctions of critical areas. Irrigation water management practices on, providing filtration and recharge of groundwater resources.

Maximum Daily Load (TMDL): Water Quality ws TMDL data in the Palouse River basin and details an ls. Agriculture implementation strategies include continuing to nt.

(Benson et. al 2011). This manual provides guidance for ntaining vegetative cover. The manual gives general site ppropriate seed mixes to meet wildlife-specific management and incorporated as stewardship practices throughout the

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Page No.	Comment	Proposed Response
					 Management Recommendations for Washington's Priority Habitats: Riparian (Kn recommendations to protect riparian habitat areas and the associated functions to ho tools and provide cover and foraging habitat. Recommendations related to agricultural that minimize soil erosion and protecting riparian vegetation through managed grazin protect riparian vegetation. Riparian health is a driving force for the habitat functions Washington State Recovery Plan for the Greater Sage Grouse (Stinson et. al 2004 requirements for the greater sage-grouse and recommends population and habitat re minimizing disturbing activities within one and a half miles of sage-grouse leks during presence of livestock in sensitive sage-brush areas, minimizing the runoff from crop p vegetation cover when possible. These strategies benefit critical area functions by pro maintaining more vegetative cover benefits soil functions and erosion reduction consi Work Plan.
13	Tech Panel (comments on other Work Plans)	5.1		Re-enforce how the Work Plan meets RCW 36.70A.720 (1)(c) which requires goals for participation by agricultural producers to meet benchmarks.	 Consider adding specific outreach goals such as committing to reaching out to 10% of the Couradaptive management program. Percentage could also change based on available funding. See Add discussion that indicator data shortfalls will be acknowledged as a part of reporting and memanagement process. Add discussion page 66, after 2nd paragraph: Add discussion page 66, after 2nd paragraph: Add discussion page 66, after 2nd paragraph: Indicator data for the County is limited and program performance. Where data is insufficient (including where data sample sizes are spart of reporting, and adaptive management measures described later in Section will be a shortfalls where necessary. Add discussion page 70, at the end of page: As noted above, indicators data for the Count evaluation of program performance. Where data is limited, adaptive management measuring limited and program performance. Where data is limited, adaptive management measuring limited and shortfalls where necessary.
14	Tech Panel (comments on other Work Plans)	5	66; Table 5-5	Add wetland data to monitoring methods for aquatic habitat.	Add the following additional data to monitoring methods for wetlands in Section 5 (Indicators, JU.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Inventory monitoring results and the National

Knutson & Naef 1997). This plan includes

hold and filter sediment, nutrients, and other crop protection ural activities to protect these functions include techniques izing in order to maintain vegetation and woody cover and ns of every critical area.

104). This plan describes the life history and habitat recovery and conservation strategies. Strategies include ing the breeding season, prescribed grazing to minimize the protection tools, managing nutrients, and maintaining native providing habitat, supporting water quality functions, and nsistent with the key stewardship practices identified in the

ounty's producers, which could change as a part of the ee new Appendix E: VSP Outreach Plan for discussion. measures to address any shortfalls will be part of the adaptive

nd not always directly applicable to the evaluation of e small relative to data variability), it will be acknowledged as e applied as part of implementation to address these data

unty is limited and not always directly connected to direct sures described in this section will be applied as part of

s, page 66) and Table 5-5: nal Wetland Inventory through U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Comment No.	Commenter	Section No.	Page No.	Comment	Proposed Response
	(comments on other Work Plans)			areas on agricultural lands will be documented through farm stewardship plans and implementation.	Adams CD will prepare biennial work plans that incorporate public-sector activities to be implemented to achieve VSP outreach and technical assistance objectives, and identify plans for working with the private sector to capture information about practices put in place <u>and presence of critical</u> <u>areas</u> through its efforts. Add Self-Assessment Checklist Protocol flowchart (Fig. 6-1) to Section 6 and Appendix E: Outreach Plan: <u>Figure 6-1 provides a protocol on how the VSP Checklist (Appendix E) will</u> <u>be used and illustrates the process from outreach to implementation.</u>

